

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/508,874	06/08/2000	GEOFFREY MAITLAND	95.0110	3542	
27551	7590 01/30/2002				
STEPHEN H. CAGLE HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP 750 BERING DRIVE			EXAMINER		
			TUCKER, PHILIP C		
HOUSTON, TX 77057			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1712	8	
			DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>					
•		Application No. Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	5088				
	Examiner	Tuch	KER	Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover	sheet be	neath the co	orrespondence address	
Peri d for Reply		0			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE	3	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a refl NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state 	eply within the statu , expire SIX (6) MOI	tory minimu NTHS from	m of thirty (30) the mailing date	days will be considered timely. e of this communication .	
Status					
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
☐ This action is FINAL.					
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 				the merits is closed in	
Disp sition of Claims					
XClaim(s) 22-43	is/are r	is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)					
□ Claim(s)	is/are a	is/are allowed.			
χ Claim(s) 22 - 43	is/are r	_ is/are rejected.			
X Claim(s) 22 - 43 □ Claim(s)	is/are	objected to.			
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————			are sul	bject to restriction or election	
Application Papers			require	ement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawin	g Review, PTO-9	948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □ ap _l	proved [disapprove	d.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Exa	aminer.			
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
$\hfill\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority un All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of					
Treceived.	the phonty docu	ments nav	ve been		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)				
received in this national stage application from the Inte	•		ule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:				•	
Attachment(s)					
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	lo(s). 7		erview Sumn	mary, PTO-413	
Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8			фрисаном, 1 10 10	
Office	e Acti n Summa	ary			

Application/Control Number: 09/508874 Page 2

Art Unit: 1712

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants claims did not contain a claim numbered 22. As such claims 23-44 have been renumbered as claims 22-43 under Rule 1.126.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 22-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 22, 29, 31, 34, 35-37, 39, 41, 42 and 43, the phrase "such as" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claim 35, 36, 39 and 43, the phrase "and the like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "and the like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Regarding claims 28, 29, 31, 33, 35 and 36, the phrase "selected from the class including" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually

Application/Control Number: 09/508874 Page 3

Art Unit: 1712

disclosed (those encompassed by "class including"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Proper Markush wording "selected from the group consisting of" should be used instead.

There is no antecedent basis or definition of OL in claim 27.

In claim 35, there is no antecedent basis for "water immiscible organic liquid" therein or in the parent claim.

Dependent claims fall herewith.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 5. Claims 22-37, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mueller et al. (5348938).

Application/Control Number: 09/508874

Page 4

Art Unit: 1712

Mueller teaches an invert drilling fluid which comprises a continuous phase of an alcohol, or an alcohol and an ester, and which further comprises salts, weighting agents and clays (see examples). Such invert emulsions would inherently possess the properties disclosed for the present invention.

6. Claims 22, 25, 26, 28, 29, 31-34, 37, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bland et al. (5141920).

Bland teaches a drilling fluid which comprises a brine in glycol invert emulsion, and further comprises salts, clay and weighting agents. Such invert emulsions would inherently possess the properties disclosed for the present invention.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Philip Tucker whose telephone number is (703) 308-0529. The examiner's normal working hours are 7:30am-4:00pm, Monday-Friday. If necessary SPE Robert Dawson may be contacted at 703-308-2340. For inquiries of a general nature call the receptionist at 703-308-0651. The group FAX no. is 703-872-9310. The after final fax no. Is 703-872-9311.

PCT-2373

January 28, 2002

PHILIP C. TUCKER ART UNIT 1712